# LINEAR ALGEBRA 20 Symmetric Matrices and Quadratic Forms

Wei-Shi Zheng, wszheng@ieee.org, 2011

#### 1 What Do You Learn from This Note

Do you still remember the following equation or something like that I have mentioned in the class

$$f = \vec{x}^T A \vec{x}.$$
 (1)

It is exactly a quadratic form function which is going to detail in the following. This connects the eigen-analysis and orthogonal matrix very well.

**Basic Concept:** symmetric matrix(对称矩阵), quadratic form, quadratic function, positive definitely matrix(正定矩阵), Spectral Decomposition (谱 分解), singular value decomposition (奇异值分解)

#### 2 What is symmetric matrix

**Definition 1** (symmetric matrix). A square matrix A is said to be symmetric iff  $A^T = A$  (or equivalently,  $[A]_{ij} = [A]_{ji}$ ).

Examples:  $0_{n \times n}$ ,  $I_n$ , diagonal matrices, etc..

**Theorem 2.** All square matrices in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  form a vector space. Denote this vector space as  $M_n$ .

**Theorem 3.** The set of all symmetric matrices of size n, denoted by  $\text{Sym}_n$ , forms a subspace of  $M_n$ .

Proof. Let A and B be symmetric matrices of size n and c a scalar. Then 1.  $0_{n \times n}$  is symmetric obviously; 2. A + B is symmetric since  $(A + B)^T = A^T + B^T = A + B$ ; 3. cA is symmetric since  $(cA)^T = cA^T = cA$ . So  $\text{Sym}_n$  is a subspace of  $M_n$ .

### 3 Eigenvectors for Symmetric Matrix

**Theorem 4.** Let  $A \in \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Also let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  be distinct eigenvalues of A with eigenvectors  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}$  respectively. Then  $\vec{v_1} \perp \vec{v_2}$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\lambda_1(\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2) = \lambda_1 \vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 = Av_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 = \vec{v}_1^T A^T v_2 = \vec{v}_1^T A v_2 = \vec{v}_1 \cdot A v_2 = \vec{v}_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \vec{v}_2 = \lambda_2 (\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2).$$

So  $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2) = 0$ . But  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \neq 0$  since they are distinct, which forces that  $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 = 0$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $A \in \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\lambda$  a complex eigenvalue of A. Then  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , that is  $\lambda$  is a real eigenvalue of A.

*Proof.* Let  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector. Then  $A\vec{v} = \lambda \vec{v}$ . Also,  $\overline{A}^T = A$ . So

$$\lambda(\overline{v}^T \vec{v}) = \overline{v}^T (\lambda \vec{v}) = \overline{\vec{v}}^T A \vec{v} = \overline{A \vec{v}}^T \vec{v} = (\overline{\lambda} \overline{\vec{v}}^T) \vec{v} = \overline{\lambda} (\overline{\vec{v}}^T \vec{v}).$$

So  $(\lambda - \overline{\lambda})\overline{\vec{v}}^T \vec{v} = 0$ . Since  $\vec{v} \neq \vec{0}$ , it follows that

$$\vec{\vec{v}}^T \vec{v} = \vec{v}_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \vec{v}_n \vec{v}_n = |\vec{v}_1|^2 + \dots + |\vec{v}_n|^2 > 0$$

where  $(\vec{v}_1 \cdots \vec{v}_n)^T = \vec{v}$ , which forces that  $\lambda - \overline{\lambda} = 0$ . So  $\lambda$  is real.

#### 4 Orthogonality & Symmetry

**Theorem 6.** Let A be any symmetric function and U any orthogonal matrix. Then  $U^{-1}AU$  is still a symmetric matrix.

*Proof.* Notice that  $U^T = U^{-1}$ . So

$$(U^{-1}AU)^T = U^T A^T (U^{-1})^T = U^{-1}AU.$$

We can now prove the major result on symmetric matrices.

**Theorem 7** (orthogonally diagonalizable). Let A be a square matrix. Then A is a symmetric matrix if and only if there exists an orthogonal matrix U such that  $U^{-1}AU$  is diagonal.

*Proof.* Firstly, it is easy to verify that if there exists an orthogonal matrix U such that  $U^{-1}AU$  is diagonal, then A is symmetric. It is because let the diagonal matrix be D, then  $A = UDU^{-1} \in \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

Secondly, or say reversely, if A is symmetric, then we need to prove there exists an orthogonal matrix U such that  $U^{-1}AU$  is diagonal. It can be done by induction on n as follows.

- 1. Step 1: BASE CASE: n = 1. Trivial.
- 2. Step 2: INDUCTIVE HYPOTHESES: Assume the result holds for n-1.
- 3. Step 3: INDUCTIVE STEP: Let  $\lambda_1$  be an eigenvalue of A, which is real by THEOREM 5, and  $\vec{u}_1$  a unit  $\lambda_1$ -eigenvector. Then we can extend  $\{\vec{u}_1\}$  to a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , say  $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_n\}$ . Furthermore, by applying THE GRAM-SCHMIDT PROCESS, we obtain an orthonormal basis  $\mathcal{U}_1 =$  $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Define  $U_1 = (\vec{u}_1 \cdots \vec{u}_n) \in O_n(\mathbb{R})$ , which is the matrix from basis  $\mathcal{U}_1$  to basis  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let

$$F = U_1^{-1}AU_1$$

It is not hard to see that the first column of F is  $(\lambda_1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0)$  since  $U_1^{-1} = U^T$ . So F has the form

$$F = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \lambda_1 & \vec{0}_{n-1}^T \\ \vec{0}_{n-1} & A' \end{array}\right),\,$$

where  $A' \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$ . By INDUCTIVE HYPOTHESES, there exists  $U' \in O_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $U'^{-1}A'U'$  is diagonal. Define  $U_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \vec{0}_{n-1}^T \\ \vec{0}_{n-1} & U' \end{pmatrix}$ , which is an orthogonal matrix. Then

$$U_2^{-1}U_1^{-1}AU_1U_2 = U_2^{-1}FU_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \vec{0}_{n-1}^T \\ \vec{0}_{n-1} & U'^{-1}A'U' \end{pmatrix}$$

which is diagonal. So take  $U = U_1U_2$ , then U is an orthogonal matrix and  $U^{-1}AU$  is diagonal.

**Remarks (Spectral Decomposition, i** $\mathcal{H}$ **fi**s orthogonally diagonalizable, and

$$A = PDP^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{u}_{1} & \cdots & \vec{u}_{n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_{n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{u}_{1}^{T} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{u}_{n}^{T} \end{pmatrix}$$

, then  $A = \lambda_1 \vec{u}_1 \vec{u}_1^T + \dots + \lambda_n \vec{u}_n \vec{u}_n^T$  is the spectral decomposition of matrix A.

#### 5 Singular Value Decomposition

Question: If matrix A is not symmetric, it may not be orthogonally diagonalizable. However,  $A^T A$  is symmetric and can be orthogonally diagonalized as  $PDP^{-1}$ . Then is it possible to find some orthogonal matrix U and  $\Sigma$  such that

$$PDP^{-1} = P\Sigma^{T}U^{T}U\Sigma P^{-1}, \ A = U\Sigma P^{-1} = U\Sigma V^{T}?$$

Answer: Yes. It can be. The Singular Value Decomposition can realize this!!!

Question: What is singular values?

**Definition 8.** Let A be  $m \times n$  matrix. Then  $A^T A$  can be orthogonally diagonalized. Let  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  be the orthogonal eigenvector basis of matrix  $A^T A$ , where their corresponding eigenvalues are  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \dots \lambda_n \ge 0$ . Then we say  $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$  are the singular values of matrix A.

**Theorem 9.** Let A be  $m \times n$  matrix, where r = rank(A). Then there exists a  $m \times m$  orthogonal matrix U and a  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrix V and a  $m \times n$ matrix  $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} D & O_{r \times (n-r)} \\ O_{(m-r) \times r} & O_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $D = diag(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \cdots, \sigma_r)$  $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_r > 0$  are singular values of A, such that

$$A = U\Sigma V$$

- **Proof.** STEP 1: Let  $\{\vec{v}_1, \cdots, \vec{v}_n\}$  be the orthogonal eigenvector basis of matrix  $A^T A$ , where their corresponding eigenvalues are  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge$  $\cdots \lambda_r > \lambda_{r+1} = \lambda_{r+2} = \cdots = \lambda_n = 0$ . Then we can prove that  $\{A\vec{v}_1, \cdots, A\vec{v}_r\}$  are orthogonal basis of ColA.
  - STEP 2: Normalize all vectors in the set  $\{A\vec{v}_1, \dots, A\vec{v}_r\}$  to obtain orthonormal basis  $\{\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_r\}$ , where

$$\vec{u}_i = \frac{1}{||A\vec{v}_i||} A\vec{v}_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} A\vec{v}_i.$$

That is

$$A\vec{v}_i = \sigma_i \vec{u}_i, \ 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

- STEP 3: Extend  $\{\vec{u}_1, \cdots, \vec{u}_r\}$  to be the orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  $\{\vec{u}_1, \cdots, \vec{u}_r, \vec{u}_{r+1}, \cdots, \vec{u}_n\}.$
- STEP 4: Let  $U = [\vec{u}_1, \cdots, \vec{u}_n]$  and  $V = [\vec{v}_1, \cdots, \vec{v}_n]$ . Then they are orthogonal matrices and

$$AV = [A\vec{v}_1, \cdots, A\vec{v}_r, 0 \cdots 0] = [\sigma_1\vec{u}_1, \cdots, \sigma_r\vec{u}_r, 0 \cdots 0].$$

Then we can easily have

$$A = U\Sigma V^T.$$

Example: Compute the singular value decomposition for matrix  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 11 & 14 \\ 8 & 7 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Applications: Image Processing (见演示)

## 6 Application: Quadratic Form(二次型)

**Definition 10** (quadratic form). A real quadratic form  $q(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  in n variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  is a polynomial over  $\mathbb{R}$  having the form

$$q(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} c_{ij} x_i x_j.$$

Examples: 0,  $8x_1^2$ ,  $-x_2x_7$ ,  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$ ,  $x_1x_2 + 12x_5^2$ ,  $2x_3x_4 - 4x_1^2$  are all quadratic forms.

Now we are given a quadratic form  $q(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} c_{ij} x_i x_j$ . We can define a symmetric matrix A such that

$$[A]_{ij} = \begin{cases} c_{ij} & \text{if } i = j; \\ \frac{1}{2}c_{ij} & \text{if } i < j; \\ \frac{1}{2}c_{ji} & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to verify that  $q(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1 \cdots x_n)A(x_1 \cdots x_n)^T$ . Conversely, for any  $A \in \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1 \cdots x_n) A(x_1 \cdots x_n)^T = \sum_{1 \le i \le n} a_{ii} x_i^2 + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} 2a_{ij} x_i x_j$$

is a quadratic form, which is called the quadratic form corresponding to A and is denoted by  $q_A(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ .

Thus, any quadratic form in n variables can be represented by a symmetric matrix of size n uniquely.

**Definition 11** (quadratic form function). Let  $q(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  be a real quadratic form. Then the function

$$f_q: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, \quad f_q(\vec{x}) = q(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where  $\vec{x} = (x_1 \cdots x_n)^T$ , is called the quadratic form function induced by q. If q corresponds to  $A \in \text{Sym}_n$ , then we write  $f_A$  for  $f_q$  and  $f_A(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}^T A \vec{x}$ .

# Question: Can we have a more simplified quadratic form for the same quadratic function?

Recall that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $x = [x]_{\mathcal{E}}$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the standard basis. Suppose that  $\mathcal{B}$  is another basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\vec{x} = [\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{E}} = P[\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ , where  $P = [\mathcal{B}]_{\mathcal{E}}$ . So for any quadratic form function  $f_A$  we have

$$f_A(\vec{x}) = f_A(P\vec{y}) = \vec{y}^T P^T A P \vec{y} = f_{P^T A P}(\vec{y}),$$

where  $\vec{y} = [\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . So  $f_A$  and  $f_{P^TAP}$  can be regarded as the same quadratic form function with respect to different bases. Therefore for the sake of convenience, it is quite natural to choose an invertible matrix P such that  $f_{P^TAP}$  has a simple form. Also, under the postulates of EUCLIDEAN GEOMETRY, only orthogonal coordinate transformation is admitted, that is P is required to be orthogonal.

Now by THEOREM 6, we can choose an orthogonal matrix U such that  $U^T A U$  is diagonal. So we have

**Theorem 12.** Let  $f_A(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}^T A \vec{x}$  be a quadratic form function. Then there exists  $U \in O_n$  such that

$$f_A(\vec{x}) = f_{U^T A U}(\vec{y}) = \vec{y}^T U^T A U \vec{y} = \lambda_1 y_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_n y_n^2,$$

where  $\vec{y} = (y_1 \cdots y_n)^T$  and  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  are all eigenvalues of A counting multiplicity.

#### 6.1 Positive definite quadratic form

**Definition 13** (positive definite quadratic form). Let q be a real quadratic form in n variables. Then q is said to be

1. positive definite (E\overline b) if  $f_q(\vec{x}) > 0$  for all  $x \neq 0$ ;

2. negative definite (负定的) if  $f_q(\vec{x}) < 0$  for all  $x \neq \vec{0}$ ;

3. indefinite (不定的) if the values of  $f_q(\vec{x})$  can be both positive and negative.

**Theorem 14.** Let  $q_A$  be the quadratic form corresponding to  $A \in \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  all the eigenvalues of A. Then

1.  $q_A$  is positive definite iff  $\lambda_i > 0$  for all i = 1, ..., n;

2.  $q_A$  is negative definite iff  $\lambda_i < 0$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ ;

3.  $q_A$  is indefinite iff there exist i, j such that  $\lambda_i > 0$  and  $\lambda_j < 0$ .

*Proof.* Let U be an orthogonal matrix such that

$$f_A(\vec{x}) = f_{U^T A U}(\vec{y}) = \lambda_1 y_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_n y_n^2.$$

Then the range of  $f_A(\vec{x})$  is the same as that of  $f_{U^TAU}$ . So the result follows easily by arguing the range of  $\lambda_1 y_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_n y_n^2$ .

**Theorem 15.** Let  $A \in \text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2$  be eigenvalues of A. Then equation  $f_A(\vec{x}) = 1$  represents a conic (圆锥) section, which can be classified as:

- 1. an ellipse (椭圆) if  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 > 0$ ;
- 2. a hyperbola (双曲线) if  $\lambda_1 > 0 > \lambda_2$ ;
- 3. empty set if  $0 \ge \lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2$ ;

4. a pair of parallel lines if  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U \in O_2$  be such that

$$f_A(\vec{x}) = f_{U^T A U}(\vec{y}) = \lambda_1 y_1^2 + \lambda_2 y_2^2.$$

Then the original equation turns out to be  $\lambda_1 y_1^2 + \lambda_2 y_2^2 = 1$  and the result follows easily.

# 7 Geometric Understanding of Symmetric Matrix

**Theorem 16.** Let A be a symmetric matrix, then we have

 $M = \max\{\vec{x}^T A \vec{x} \mid ||\vec{x}|| = 1\} = the maximum eigenvalue of A,$ 

 $n = \min\{\vec{x}^T A \vec{x} \mid ||\vec{x}|| = 1\} = the minimum eigenvalue of A.$ 



The Cafe Terrace on the Place du Forum,  $by \ Van \ Gogh$